

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION MAILED 03/25/2005

Application number: 10/064,533
Filing Date: 07/24/2002
Named Inventor: Luis Felipe Guglielmucci
Confirmation number: 8347
Examiner: LANEAU, RONALD

1 Abstract:

A final action was mailed by the office in response of an amendment filed on 11/29/2004 in which claims 1-2 were canceled and new claim 3 was added.

The application filed relates to a business model in which music can be sold to customers in records that contains as many channels as the customer is able to reproduce to fits his own particular request. This invented business model then requires the production of a multichannel record each time a customer orders one, this allows multiple customization options such as the selection of the numbers of channels, preferred audio components per channel, type of use and others that the invented business model proposes to increase the customer's perception of the record's value.

Despite the simple or evident that this model may appears to the examiners, is something that has not prior art of references. Besides this model has the potential to become a new way to serve exclusive users that requires something over the standard multichannel systems for surround systems as 5.1 or 7.1.

The examiner has reject the claims based in the 35 U.S.C 103 (a) because of its obviousness and bring in a new ground of rejection, but in fact the prior art quoted as Sitnik (US 6,300,880 B1) and Nakamura (US 6,687,378 B1) are in no manner related to the proposed inventions as it will be explained below.

This response kindly request that the office withdraw its final action and reconsiders to accept the claims based on the arguments that are explained in this response.

Additionally the claims are corrected to pass the objections as requested in point 2 of the response.

2 Claim Objections because informalities